I assume here the minimalist research program, which I think is well-motivated on
grounds of learnability, explanatory success, and the very limited information on origin
of the human language faculty. In particular, I assume the conclusions of Chomsky
(2013), including the abandonment of the endocentricity stipulation of X-bar theory and
its descendants, and the separation of projection (labeling) from the principles of
construction of expressions. A labeling algorithm, keeping to minimal search, assigns
labels to expressions \{X,Y\} constructed by iterated Merge (external EM or internal IM);
labeling yields no new category If one of X,Y is a head, labeling is trivial: minimal
search yields the head as a label. If neither is a head, labeling is possible only if search
of X and Y yields agreeing heads, meaning that if one or the other was raised it is now
in its criterial position in Luigi Rizzi’s sense. Assume further that at the CI interface,
and for the rules of externalization, syntactic objects must be identified (labeled). It
follows that IM is successive-cyclic leading to a criterial position, and is forced to
ensure labeling.

A further question is what Rizzi calls “the halting problem”: why is there no further
movement from a criterial position? A simple solution is outlined that keeps to the
minimalist assumptions just sketched. Further questions arise about special properties
of subjects of CP: the Extended Projection Principle (EPP) and the Empty Category
Principle (ECP). These are unified under the labeling theory assumed. The analysis
extends to the second phase v*P, where the object that is raised under the analogue of
EPP – in accordance with the object-raising analysis of Saito and Lasnik (1991), tracing
back to work of Paul Postal’s – is in a structural position analogous to subject of CP.
ECP is violated for v*P, and sometimes for CP (escape from the “that-trace filter”). The
reason for these apparent violations is the same, under the analysis presented, which
also entails a revision of standard approaches to head-raising and sharpening of notions
of phase-based memory. Work tracing back to Rizzi (1982) has shown that null-subject
languages apparently differ in these properties, the parametric difference relating to
“rich agreement.” The basic distinctions also fall into place under the presented
analysis. Several other anomalies of earlier proposals are also discussed and overcome.

* The related article will be part of Di Domenico, E., C. Hamann and S. Matteini (eds.) (to appear)
Structures, Strategies and Beyond. Studies in Honour of Adriana Belletti, Amsterdam/Philadelphia,
Benjamins Publishing Company.
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